

**IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE
WESTERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA**

JONATHAN ANIBAL CLAUDIO,)	
)	
Plaintiff,)	
)	
v.)	Case No. CIV-20-1135-D
)	
TULSA COUNTY SHERIFF'S)	
DEPARTMENT, <i>et al.</i> ,)	
)	
Defendant.)	

ORDER TRANSFERRING CASE

This matter comes before the Court for review of the Report and Recommendation issued November 10, 2020, by United States Magistrate Judge Gary M. Purcell pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(B) and (C). Upon initial screening, Judge Purcell finds that proper venue for Plaintiff's claims under 42 U.S.C. § 1983 regarding alleged misconduct by police officers for the City of Tulsa and officers employed by the sheriff's department of Tulsa County, Oklahoma, lies in the United States District Court of the Northern District of Oklahoma, and that the case should be transferred to that district.

The record reflects no timely objection to the Report nor request for additional time to object. Plaintiff was expressly advised of both the right to object and the implied waiver rule. *See* R&R at 4. Therefore, the Court finds that Plaintiff has waived further review of the issues addressed in the Report. *See Moore v. United States*, 950 F.2d 656, 659 (10th Cir. 1991); *see also United States v. 2121 E. 30th St.*, 73 F.3d 1057, 1060 (10th

Cir. 1996). Further, for the reasons stated by Judge Purcell, the Court finds that the recommended transfer is warranted pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1406(a).¹

IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED that the Report and Recommendation [Doc. No. 5] is **ADOPTED** as set forth herein. This action is **TRANSFERRED** to the United States District Court of the Northern District of Oklahoma.

IT IS SO ORDERED this 3rd day of December, 2020.



TIMOTHY D. DeGIUSTI
Chief United States District Judge

¹ Judge Purcell does not cite statutory authority for the transfer but relies on caselaw allowing a change of venue “in the interest of justice” under § 1404(a). *See* R&R at 2-3. However, if venue is improper in this district, § 1406(a) authorizes a transfer of the case to a judicial district where it could have been brought in order to cure the defect in venue.